UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,144	10/13/2006	Jan Stifter	MEISS71.027APC	3158
20995 7590 01/13/2010 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			EXAMINER	
			BLATT, ERIC D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/13/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/573,144	STIFTER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eric Blatt	3734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 11,13-17 and 27-45 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11,13-17 and 27-45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawin	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. ccepted or b) □ objected to by the leading the design of the lead	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Examiner: Note the attached office	7.0007 07 101111 1 0 102.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9-14-2009; 9-15-2009.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11, 13-17 and 27-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Broers et al. (WO0217798). The National Stage of this document, Broers et al. (US 6,928,742), is used as an English translation of the original document.

Broers discloses a bone-fixed locator 8' (Figure 3) for use with a navigation system for determining the spatial position and location of a body part of a mammal based on signals from the locator. The locator comprises an L-shaped body having 3 target markers disposed along the rotational axis of the body and an engagement portion configured for engagement with a bone of a mammal. The engagement portion and the body are a single piece. Broers discloses that the locator 8' will be rigidly connected to bones such as a femur when in use, (Paragraph 49 of the translated document) but does disclose that the engagement portion comprises a self-drilling, self-tapping thread. It was well known to provide elements that are to be rigidly connected to bones, such as bone screws, with self-drilling, self-tapping threads to allow these elements to be affixed to a bone more easily. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the engagement portion of

Broers with a self-drilling, self-tapping thread to achieve these purposes. Although the locator of Broers is shown with 3 target markers, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to instead use 2 target markers since such a modification would merely require providing a different number of known elements and would not have produced unexpected results. The target markers comprise retro-reflecting spheres.

Regarding claim 16, the optical recording device is not positively claimed.

Regarding claim 40, the L-shaped body 8' shown in Figure 3 does not have a 90 degree bend. The bend appears to be slightly greater than 90 degrees. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify this apparatus such that the bend is 90 degrees since the particular angle of the bend is a matter of design choice and changing the angle such that it is 90 degrees would not materially impact the function of the device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim11, 13-17 and 27-45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Blatt whose telephone number is (571)272-9735. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-6:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,144 Page 4

Art Unit: 3734

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Todd Manahan can be reached on 571-272-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/E. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3734

/Todd E Manahan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3734